

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 SACRAMENTO DIVISION
10

11 BADGER DAYLIGHTING CORP.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 DIG ALERT DONE RIGHT, L.L.C. (dba
15 HYDRO PROS)

16 Defendant.

17 DIG ALERT DONE RIGHT, L.L.C. (dba
18 HYDRO PROS)

19 Defendant and
20 Countercomplainant,

21 vs.

22 BADGER DAYLIGHTING CORP.,

23 Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant.
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 2:24-cv-01678-JDP

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING THE
PARTIES' SECOND
MOTION/STIPULATION TO MODIFY
THE SCHEDULING ORDER**

This Court has considered Plaintiff and Counterdefendant Badger Daylighting Corporation (“Badger”) and Defendant and Countercomplainant Dig Alert Done Right, L.L.C. n/k/a Digging Done Right, L.L.C.’s (“DDR” and together with Badger “Parties”) second joint motion and stipulation to modify this Court’s Scheduling Order (Dkt No. 22). After considering the Parties’ Motion, this Court finds good cause to grant the Parties’ motion.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

A. The Parties’ second motion to modify this Court’s Scheduling Order is granted.

B. The dates in this Court’s Scheduling Order are modified as follows.

Event	Current Deadline	New Deadline
Discovery Motion Cutoff as to the depositions noticed by the Parties	October 2, 2025	December 1, 2025
Discovery (Deposition) Cut Off for the completion of the depositions already noticed by the Parties	October 31, 2025	December 15, 2025

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 29, 2025


 JEREMY D. PETERSON
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE